

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problems Mailbox.**

AUSTRALIA

PATENTS ACT 1990

NOTICE OF ENTITLEMENT

We, CECIL S.A., of Avenue Frederic-Mistral, F-38670 Chasse-sur-Rhone, France, being the applicant and nominated person in respect of Application No. 13886/95, state the following:-

1. The person nominated for the grant of the patent has entitlement from the actual inventors as follows:  
If a patent were granted to the actual inventors in respect of the invention the nominated person would be entitled to have the patent assigned to it.

2. The person nominated for the grant of the patent is the applicant of the basic application listed in the declaration under Article 8 of the PCT.

3. The basic application listed in the declaration under Article 8 of the PCT is the first application made in a Convention country in respect of the invention.

For and on behalf of  
CECIL S.A.

(Signature)

26.08.96

(Date)

Name: D. BOUSSAVET

Title: P.D.G.

File: 18940

CECIL

Av. F. Mistral  
F-38670 CHASSE-SUR-RHONNE  
FRANCE  
Siret 38670 38670 38670



AU9513886

**(12) PATENT ABRIDGMENT (11) Document No. AU-B-13886/95**  
**(19) AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE (10) Acceptance No. 689529**

- (54) Title  
**METHOD AND MATERIALS GIVING TERMITE PROTECTION TO BUILDINGS**
- International Patent Classification(s)  
(51)<sup>6</sup> **A01N 025/34 A01M 001/24 E04B 001/72**
- (21) Application No. : **13886/95** (22) Application Date : **28.12.94**
- (87) PCT Publication Number : **WO95/18532**
- (30) Priority Data
- (31) Number (32) Date (33) Country  
**94 00179 03.01.94 FR FRANCE**
- (43) Publication Date : **01.08.95**
- (44) Publication Date of Accepted Application : **02.04.98**
- (71) Applicant(s)  
**CECIL S.A.**
- (72) Inventor(s)  
**PASCAL MARTINET; OLIVIER LIEUX; GUY MARCOTTE**
- (74) Attorney or Agent  
**SHELSTON WATERS , Level 21, 60 Margaret Street, SYDNEY NSW 2000**
- (57) Claim

1. A method for the protection of buildings against termites, comprising laying, prior to the erection of the building, over the entire building surface exposed by digging operations, including foundations, a film of plastic material which is impregnated throughout with an insecticide compound, the entire arrangement allowing the insecticide to diffuse slowly.

11. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 10, wherein, additionally, the building site is filled with a mixture of a substrate of normal filler and granules or materials of similar geometry of plastic material impregnated with insecticide, at the level of the zones where the film is either deliberately perforated or risks being accidentally perforated or interrupted.

OPI DATE 01/08/95 APPLN. ID 13886/95  
AOJP DATE 14/09/95 PCT NUMBER PCT/FR94/01541



AU9513886

(PCT)

DEMA

(51) Classification internationale des brevets <sup>6</sup> : A01N 25/34, E04B 1/72, A01M 1/24		A1	(11) Numéro de publication internationale: WO 95/18532 (43) Date de publication internationale: 13 juillet 1995 (13.07.95)
(21) Numéro de la demande internationale: PCT/FR94/01541 (22) Date de dépôt international: 28 décembre 1994 (28.12.94) (30) Données relatives à la priorité: 94/00179 5 janvier 1994 (05.01.94) FR (71) Déposant (pour tous les Etats désignés sauf US): CECTIL S.A. [FR/FR]; Avenue Frédéric-Mistral, F-38670 Chasse-sur-Rhône (FR). (72) Inventeurs; et (75) Inventeurs/Déposants (US seulement): MARTINET, Pascal [FR/FR]; 38, rue Nationale, F-38370 Les-Roches-de-Condrieu (FR). LIEUX, Olivier [FR/FR]; 3, Les Adrets, F-42290 Sorbiers (FR). MARCOTTE, Guy [FR/FR]; Le Village, F-38440 Moidieu (FR). (74) Mandataire: RICHEBOURG, Michel; Cabinet Michel-Richebourg, Le Grand Meyrieux, F-42570 Saint-Heand (FR).		(81) Etats désignés: AU, CN, KR, NO, NZ, US, VN, brevet européen (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), brevet OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  Publiée Avec rapport de recherche internationale. Avant l'expiration du délai prévu pour la modification des revendications, sera republiée si de telles modifications sont reçues.	

689529

(54) Title: METHOD AND MATERIALS GIVING TERMITE PROTECTION TO BUILDINGS (54) Titre: PROCEDE ET MATERIAUX POUR LA PROTECTION ANTI-TERMITE DES CONSTRUCTIONS (57) Abstract The invention concerns the application of a plastic film impregnated with an anti-termite agent around the sides and base of an excavation site. Said plastic film is combined with anti-termite granules in backfill in the region of pipe and duct passages. This film has a preventive function and does not require repeat treatments. It can be further used in the form of curative or preventative renewed treatment in old constructions. (57) Abrégé L'invention concerne l'application d'un film plastique imprégné de termiticide sur tout le pourtour et le fond des excavations. Combinaison avec des granulés termiticides en remblai aux passages de gaines et canalisation. Applications préventives ne nécessitant pas de traitements de renouvellement. Egalement application en curatif ou préventif de renouvellement sur construction ancienne.
--

**'METHOD AND MATERIALS FOR THE PROTECTION OF BUILDINGS  
AGAINST TERMITES'**

The present invention relates to the preventative protection of buildings against termites.

5 At present, the protection of buildings against the penetration of harmful isoptera (termites) is generally effected by pouring, spraying or injecting insecticide products in doses which should prove to be sufficient to ensure protection for a number of years. A  
10 ban on the use of certain insecticides, which are effective but which cause substantial toxic and ecotoxic pollution, has resulted in the use of substances which are less dangerous but which are less stable chemically, so that it is necessary for the operations to be repeated at  
15 more frequent intervals, which gives rise to difficult problems owing to the dispersal of undesirable materials into the environment.

Moreover, these preventative and curative renewal treatments are, by definition, carried out after the  
20 construction of the building and, therefore, it is necessary to drill through the walls, floors, etc, which involves heavy and expensive work, causing inconvenience and the complete effectiveness of which is difficult to assure, since it is not always possible to drill the  
25 recommended number of holes. Furthermore, after construction, certain locations prove to be inaccessible to preventative and/or curative treatments of this type.

Finally, in an endeavour to increase the effectiveness of the preventative and/or curative  
30 treatments, there may be a considerable temptation to overdose the product, particularly around inaccessible locations, with the obvious dangers of pollution, which were precisely those which it was desired to avoid.

If it is recalled that it only requires one or  
35 more unprotected ways of penetration, which are very few

in number, to allow the termites to invade a building and cause the well-known damage thereto, it is possible to judge the gravity of the problem and the necessarily serious deficiencies of the preventative and/or curative renewal treatments, even if they have been properly carried out, since the 'chemical barrier' is rarely continuous.

The present invention aims to obviate these drawbacks and relates to a method for the protection of buildings against termites, which method is put into effect during the construction of the buildings themselves, and which is characterised in that said protection is permanent and complete, even though it makes use of new generation termicides, this combination of properties hitherto being considered to be impossible.

In fact, it was known how to obtain complete and permanent protection prior to construction but only by pouring, etc., substances which are now prohibited.

It was also known to use less powerful substances, however, this depended on the use of preventative and/or curative renewal treatments (therefore, the method was not 'permanent') and gave rise to the very considerable danger of allowing the presence of unprotected penetration paths (therefore, the method was not 'complete').

However, the invention proposes a method and means combining four essential properties, the simultaneous combination of which was previously considered to be impossible:

1. Application prior to construction.
2. Use of new generation substances.
3. 'Complete' treatment (continuous 'chemical barrier', therefore no unprotected paths).
4. 'Permanent' treatment (no need to resort to preventative retreatments or curative treatments in the event of failure).

Various plastic materials are also known for the controlled release of active ingredients. In particular,

ALIAN

the document FR-A-2 491 037 (ROUSSEL-UCLAF) discloses packing and coating materials with insecticide properties and comprising a film of plastic material (polyethylene, for example) which is impregnated throughout by means of a compound of the pyrethrin type.

5           The document JP 59-62503 discloses a kraft paper sheet impregnated with termicide and coated on one of its two sides with a plastic film. The product is supposed to diffuse through the polyethylene. It is a strong composite product intended for 'under floor' use, i.e. in precise  
10       areas. Moreover, it involves old technology (1982), i.e. at a time when powerful termicides were authorised, even at high doses, parameters which are now strictly controlled.

15       USP 5,224,288 is also known, which is very significant in that it illustrates the state of the art immediately prior (1993) to the present invention. This American patent describes a fibrous mat impregnated with termicide. The fibres are mandatory, since only this  
20       arrangement ensures both a large surface area and adequate mechanical strength. Moreover, this document states that, necessarily, the mesh size of the fibrous structure has to be smaller than the insect, otherwise the insect can penetrate the structure.

25       Therefore, immediately prior to the present invention, the person skilled in the art understood:  
- that it was necessary to provide a large surface area to diffuse a sufficient amount of product;  
- that, despite this precaution, the insect could reach the fibrous mat and penetrate it, which shows that  
30       the chemical barrier was considered to be inadequate; perhaps, because of the 'immediate' loss of product 'by capillary attraction' into the ground.

35       Therefore, the person skilled in the art was not directed towards a solution of the film or fibrous mat type but was diverted away therefrom.

However, the invention relates to the application

of an insecticidal plastic film for the protection of buildings against termites, using a method which comprises laying the film over the entire building surface exposed by the digging operations necessary for the erection of the building, including the foundation trenches, etc., this point being important, as will be explained below.

5       According to a first aspect the present invention consists in a method for the protection of buildings against termites, comprising laying, prior to the erection of the building, over the entire building surface exposed by digging operations, including foundations, a film of plastic material which is impregnated throughout with an insecticide compound, the entire arrangement allowing the insecticide to diffuse slowly.

10       To judge the significance and originality of the invention it is necessary to view the situation in the context of the problem posed and its technical environment.

For example, within the framework of the aforementioned patent FR'037, it involved protecting the substances with an insecticide film. However, on the one hand, the application of the film could be mechanised and it was carried out in accordance with  
15       certain procedures and in the factory: therefore, correct application of the film was ensured and its insecticide action guaranteed. If a tear or fault was noticed, the packing could simply be diverted and repaired. Even if an undetected fault remained, it would result in only slight damage.

However, in the civil engineering sector it was unreasonable to expect building  
20       workers to apply a thin film very carefully to the ground and around the foundations, to check with even greater care that no reinforcing rods, pebbles, debris, etc., will perforate the film (it should be remembered that termite colonies are in a state of perpetual expansion and that their instinct urges them to radiate about the termitarium - they find the damp and "quiet" earth beneath a building to be particularly suitable - and to spread.

AUSTRALIAN  
J W



preferentially, along pipe systems, etc., and that, therefore, a single unprotected passage is sufficient for an invasion of termites), to dispose carefully and with caution of the fillers and building materials, cement, etc., so that these materials do not in turn perforate the film, namely to ask workmen to give up their practices associated with their technical

5 sector and which are thus quite



MAN

understandable.

This was all the more unlikely since passages have to be provided, particularly in the foundations, for the advance of pipe systems, cables, etc., of all types, which makes it necessary for the film to be perforated, cut, etc.

Finally, a film with controlled release of termicidal product could, by definition, only release small doses of product per unit of time, as confirmed by the aforementioned USP'288; therefore, at the very best one could expect a more or less repellent effect at the locations where the film has not been torn, perforated or badly positioned, or opened to pipe systems, etc., an effect which would thus quite simply direct the insects towards the locations at which there is a tear, perforation, etc., where they could penetrate the building without causing damage and, obviously, invade it.

Therefore, it was obvious to the person skilled in the art that a plastic film with controlled release could not provide any guarantee of success, taking into account the trade under consideration and its unavoidable constraints.

Accordingly, the trade has adapted to the drawbacks of curative treatments.

The Applicants, to their credit, have overcome the prejudices associated with the depositing of a film and have decided on a research programme, despite the investment involved and the almost certain risk of failure, that is according to the reasoning of professionals.

It is also to credit of the Applicants and their invention that they persevered after encountering the expected difficulties and, nevertheless, developed all the components of an original test programme and, finally, demonstrated that, contrary to all expectations, the termicidal film provides the desired solution.

The accompanying drawings, illustrated by way of

IAN  
ICE

example, will enable the invention, its features and the advantages which it can provide to be understood more clearly:

Figure 1 of the drawings is a vertical schematic section showing the theoretical application of the system for protection against termites according to the invention.

Figure 2 is comparable to Figure 1, except that it represents the actual application with serious incidents (tears, perforations, sheets laid with insufficient covering, etc.) which the person skilled in the art expected and which are in fact encountered.

Figure 3 represents an enlargement of a Zone A in Figure 2, and also the detailed means of the invention and its effects.

Figure 1 shows that, in an excavation formed after the digging work intended for the construction of the foundations (in chain lines) for a building, there has been spread out a series of sheets 1 of a film of plastic material impregnated with an insecticide product. These sheets 1 overlap one another (2) and they cover all the building surface, even extending substantially at ground level (3).

Figure 2 shows what happens in practice. Some of the sheets are poorly positioned for covering purposes (no or insufficient overlapping), as shown at (9). Pebbles or debris perforate the film. During the laying operation grids, reinforcing rods (6) and projections perforate the film. During the casting of the foundations, the film is deflected or stretched under the pressure of the cast material m and the danger of piercing occurs at those locations where there is a sharp edge, as shown at (4), under the film or at the numerous locations where there is a void under the film (it is obvious that the film does not closely conform to well-prepared ground; it can only be placed on ground irregularly littered with debris and, accidentally, with metal parts, etc.), as shown at (8). In

any case, the film has to be deliberately perforated to allow the passage of pipe systems or sheaths (7).

Each of these incidents creates a slit, opening or tear (5), many of which are unpredictable and cannot even be recognised, and only one of these slits, openings or tears is required to allow an invasion by termites.

Therefore, the problem posed is to attain the "zero fault", in this case the "zero penetration point". Figure 2 shows the gamble which this objective represents with the simple aim of laying a film.

The invention lies in the manner in which it tackles the problem. There are two categories of tearing risks.

a) - deliberate openings, such as those provided for the sheaths (7). These at least have the merit of being known. Therefore, one could think of effecting local treatment of the tear by sealing, etc. but in this field there would not be a total guarantee;

b) - totally unpredictable accidental tears. No preventative measures are possible against the latter.

It was also known that, by definition, the film could not release a substantial amount of product when the release is gradual, and that the film and its vicinity are subjected to washing effects by water and moisture and other product losses, as confirmed by the above-mentioned USP'282. Finally, termiticides are degraded at the very alkaline pH values of the building materials.

Therefore, tears could not be prevented and the product released could be relied upon to form a sufficiently concentrated and extensive barrier to the neutralise the tear zones.

Nevertheless, the Applicants decided to verify this latter point and found that, contrary to all expectations, the film treated against termites (described below) was able, despite the gradual release of small doses, to create 1) a repellent effect and 2) a contact and "shock" effect.

The unexpected contact effect observed is essential. According to the tests carried out, an insect arriving in the immediate vicinity of the film is clearly disturbed after only 10 s exposure : difficulty in moving, disturbed orientation and similarly disturbed behaviour.

5 This is wholly in contrast with the teaching of USP'288.

Therefore, without being able to escape, the insect reaches the equally very short period of time, at the end of which the lethal shock effect occurs.

10 In the extremely general case in which the insect is thus faced with a sound film, it is either repelled or subjected to the contact effect then to the shock effect. In the first case, it may seek and find a less protected opening. However, in this case, the Applicants have established that, contrary to expectations and knowledge,  
15 the insect is then subjected very rapidly (in only a few seconds!) to the contact effect which leads to the shock effect.

Therefore, the Applicants have established that even with not very careful installation practice, a  
20 termicidal film provides complete and permanent protection as a result of a double barrier illustrated in Figure 3 having a repellent effect (protection of openings) and a contact and shock effect (destruction).

The plastic material film which forms the sheets 1  
25 can be obtained by the extrusion of polymers, particularly polyolefins, such as polyethylene or polypropylene or polyvinyl chloride and analogous (co)polymers, the thickness of which is between 50  $\mu\text{m}$  and 300  $\mu\text{m}$ . The insecticide is incorporated in the plastic material during  
30 the production of the compound, the proportion being a function of the effectiveness of the active ingredient used. The production of the film is carried out starting from this compound by hot extrusion-blowing or by extrusion. it being possible for either one of these  
35 extrusion methods to be followed, optionally, by hot-pressing and analogous processes known to the person

skilled in the art.

The insecticides which can be used are variable and are those known to the person skilled in the art. In particular, it is possible to use:

5 - either insecticides of the chemical family of the pyrethrins of the type described in above-mentioned document ROUSSEL-UCLAF (permethrin or phenoxy-3 benzyl ( $\pm$ ) Cis trans (dichloro 2,2 vinyl) - 3 dimethyl-2,2 cyclopropane carboxylate with the molecular formula  $C_{21}H_{20}Cl_2O_3$ ;

10 - or insecticides of the chemical family of the carbamates, such as Benfuracarbe (dihydro-2,3 dimethyl-2,2 benzofuranyl - 7 N - (N - ethoxycarbonyl)-2 ethyl-N isopropylaminosulphenyl)-N-methylcarbonate), with the molecular formula  $C_{20}H_{20}N_2O_5S$ ;

:15 - or organohalogens;

: - or organophosphorous compounds;

: - and known analogous products which need not be specified here.

20 The person skilled in the art will naturally be able to choose the most suitable and these examples are not restrictive. It would also be possible to use mixtures.

25 As is evident, openings necessarily have to be made in the film formed by the sheets 1, in particular for the passage of supply lines (water, gas, electricity) and outlet ducts. To obviate the slightest risk and to be absolutely sure of restoring the continuous nature of the protective barrier formed by the plastic film, a preferred embodiment of the invention lies in treating these openings during the filling operation (of the foundation, for example) by incorporating into the substrate (11), which forms the covering filler, granules (10) of insecticidal plastic material, advantageously at a rate of (about) 1 volume of granules per 9 volumes of substrate.

35 The openings are preferably filled with this mixture over a thickness and a depth of at least about 10cm.

1A/1a

The granules used have preferably (depending on the nature of the ground, on the degree of washing with water, and on the estimation of the dose released, factors which the person skilled in the art could readily determine by routine tests from reading the following examples and tables) dimensions of the order of about 2 to 3 mm in diameter over a length of about 2-5 mm. Their chemical composition is similar to that of the film which forms the sheets 1. They may also be waste from the production of the film or rejected pieces of film, etc., and the like but this is not preferred (problems in respect of homogeneity of the doses released). As a precaution, one could proceed in the same way at the locations where the film is most exposed to probable tearing, as at (8). Preference is given to granules and all geometries have roughness or sharp edges, such as cut rods.

The great advantage of this variant is two-fold: it is easily carried out by the building workers, with no particular care needed; and the preferred use of waste, etc., from the film.

The masonry work can be resumed after these simple operations.

The secondary advantages achieved by this method for protection against termites in relation to conventional systems for pouring insecticide compounds in the liquid phase are also obvious.

- The active insecticide materials are protected from external attack by the plastic material of the film in which they are incorporated, said film allowing slow diffusion.

- The quantities of insecticide products are very clearly lower than those used according to a conventional process. The following tables and examples allow a simple comparison to be made.

- The risk of pollution to the ground and phreatic strata is greatly reduced since the insecticides held

3ALINW

captive within the plastic material are released only at a very low rate.

- The danger of contamination of the environment in the event of an accident occurring during the conveyance of the insecticide film is absolutely zero, whereas there is a high danger associated with the conveyance of liquid insecticides to be poured.

The protective barrier formed by the sheets 1 is especially visible during complementary digging work, so that it can be easily restored.

- This protective barrier can be easily removed in the event of demolition.

The following tests have been carried out by the Applicants:

a) - Study of the insecticide activity on *Reticulitermes santonensis* of a polyethylene film treated with permethrin.

Several polyethylene films are used having a thickness of 200  $\mu$ m and containing different amounts of permethrin. These films were obtained using the method described above. A control film of polyethylene is also used which does not contain any biocidal active material.

The film to be tested is retained between 2 glass tubes which are open at the end, have an inner diameter of 50 mm and a height of 50 mm ( $S = 19.63 \text{ cm}^2$ ). A support of neutral material of 1 mm thickness is deposited on the surface of the film and supports a disc of filter paper which is moistened to saturation. The filter paper, which is thus not in contact with the treated film, serves after daily remoistening as nourishment and as water reserve for the insects required for the experiment. After the introduction into each of the devices of 25 *Reticulitermes santonensis* workers in a good state of health, a cover of polyurethane foam is placed on each of the devices. The mortality rate is verified after 6 hours, 12 hours, 24 hours and then each day for 7 days, starting from the date when the insects were introduced into the experimental



devices. For each concentration of biocide in the plastic material there were carried out two repeats of the test which, itself, uses four experimental devices of 25 termites per concentration.

5 The following table summarises the experimental results obtained.

Plastic material: polyethylene  
Active biocidal material : permethrin

Dose of biocide in (m/m) in the support	% of mortality						
	6 H	12 H	24 H	2 D	3 D	4 D	7 D
0.005	0	0	4	36	52	64	84
0.05	0	0	x	64	88	96	100
0.25	20	36	x4	100			
0.50	52	xx	100				
1.00	100						
Controls	0	0	0	0	0	2	8

#### CONCLUSIONS:

10 With a dose of 1 % of permethrin incorporated into the polyethylene the material exhibits satisfactory anti-termite characteristics.

b) Study of the insecticide activity on *Reticulitermes santonensis* of a polyethylene film treated with Benfuracarbe.

15 The test methodology is the same as that indicated in the foregoing; the films are obtained in accordance with the process described above.

The following table summarises the experimental results obtained.

STRALIAN  
J W.

Dose of biocide in % (m/m) in the support	% of mortality						
	6 H	12 H	24 H	2 D	3 D	4 D	7 D
0.005	0	0	0	4	12	20	65
0.05	50	64	100				
0.25	100						
0.50	100						
1.00	100						
Controls	0	0	0	0	0	2	8

#### **CONCLUSIONS:**

With a dose of 1 % of benfuracarbe incorporated into the polyethylene the material exhibits satisfactory anti-termite characteristics.

- 5     *b) Study of the influence of dilution by infiltrating water on the insecticide activity of the plastic material used by the method forming the subject-matter of the invention.*

10     Samples of films treated as described above are held captive in the polyurethane foam. They are introduced vertically into the glass tubes of a diameter of 50 mm and a height of 50 mm, at a rate of 4 samples per tube, treated with the same doses and with the same active principle. A device for dispensing liquid drop by drop is installed at the top of this experimental device. By means

15     of the aforementioned device 8 litres of demineralised water are allowed to percolate through the foam supporting the films over a period of 48 hours and a rate of 40 drops per minute. This quantity of water represents, in relation

20     to the experimental surface area, the approximate average of rain received in 5 years per square metre in various West European towns commonly recognised as being subject to termite invasion.

Following this dilution test, the films are removed from the devices and dried without being wiped. The insecticide activity is then verified according to the method described in paragraph a) above.

5 The following table summarises the experimental results obtained.

Plastic material: polyethylene

Nature of biocide	Dose of biocide in % (m/m) in the support	% of mortality						
		6 H	12 H	24 H	2 D	3 D	4 D	7 D
Permethrin	0.05	0	0	0	8	8	11	32
	0.25	0	0	13	37	69	100	
	0.50	30	56	72	90	100		
	1.00	100						
Benfuracarbe	0.05	0	0	0	36	49	78	94
	0.25	0	0	2	46	71	99	100
	0.50	16	34	50	68	100		
	1.00	31	44	52	100			
Treated controls		0	1	1	1	1	1	4

#### CONCLUSIONS:

10 With a dose of 1 % of permethrin or benfuracarbe incorporated into the polyethylene the material exhibits satisfactory anti-termite characteristics after undergoing the dilution test.

d) Study of the insecticide activity on *Reticulitermes santonensis* on particles of polyethylene treated with different biocides, mixed with a substrate.



- Particles of the following dimensions : diameter 2.5 mm, length 4 mm, obtained according to the above-described method, are incorporated into moistened Fontainebleau sand (1 volume of water per 4 volumes of sand) at a rate of 1 volume of granules per 9 volumes of sand. This properly homogenised mixture entirely fills a glass tube which is 50 mm in diameter and 150 mm in height. Fitted to the base of this tube is another glass cylinder, 50 mm in diameter and 50 mm in height, which is filled with moist sand containing a block of wood as bait. Care is taken to interpose between the two tubes a membrane of filter paper which will serve as a control passage. The device is covered by another glass tube (50 mm in diameter and 50 mm in height), containing a disc of polyurethane foam conforming to the inner diameter of the tube, and 25 mm in thickness. This disc is traversed by two holes 3 mm in diameter and a piece of wood, which originates from the breeding of *Reticulitermes santonensis*, is inserted into the centre of its upper surface. A population of *Reticulitermes santonensis* workers in a good state of health is introduced through the upper opening of the experimental device which is finally covered with a lid cut into the polyurethane foam.
- The depth of penetration into the treated substrate and the mortality rate are checked at the end of the 4-week test period. 4 experimental devices were used for each biocide tested and at each of the concentrations of biocide in the plastic material. Each of the tests was repeated twice. During each repetition 4 devices devoid of any biocide were used as controls.
- The following table summarises the experimental results obtained.



Nature of biocide	Dose of biocide in % (m/m) in the granules	Depth of penetration in the substrate in mm	Survival rate of termites
Permethrin	0.25	150	18.00 %
	1.00	10	8.00 %
Benfuracarbe	0.25	199	11.4 %
	1.00	16	8.4 %
Treated controls		400	80.6 %

#### CONCLUSIONS:

With a dose of 1 % of permethrin or benfuracarbe incorporated into the polyethylene particles, the material, which is mixed with sand in the proportions defined above, imparts satisfactory anti-termite characteristics to the substrate.

These tests demonstrate the activity of the film laden with 1 %, as well as the granules (10) which may be used mixed with the filler (11), and also the satisfactory resistance to dilution.

It was noted that the repellent effect was effective practically whatever the dose applied.

The person skilled in the art will readily know how to determine the doses required for the 'contact' and 'shock' effects described according to the invention by routine tests based on the above examples and simple soil samples, etc.

The impregnation dose could be as low as about 0.5 %, the upper limit being dictated by economic and standardisation criteria, for example about 2 %, preferably 1 %.

Moreover, it should be understood that the foregoing description has only been made by way of example and that it in no way restricts the scope of the

invention, and replacing the described details of the embodiments with any others which are equivalent will not represent any departure therefrom.

5 In particular, the film could be mounted a dozen centimetres along a wall, above the ground. It would also be possible to develop more or less vertical barriers arranged at a certain distance all around the location to be protected, over a suitable depth known by the person skilled in the art. A film according to the invention is disposed vertically in a trench protecting at any distance 10 desired the entire periphery of the zone in question, the locations particularly under threat being filled optionally with the addition of granules according to the invention. It would also be possible merely to dig a trench and fill it with the addition of granules according 15 to the invention. New works can thus be better protected and/or "renewing" treatments can be carried out in combination with or in replacement of the old method.

ITALIAN  
3

THE CLAIMS DEFINING THE INVENTION ARE AS FOLLOWS:-

1. A method for the protection of buildings against termites, comprising laying, prior to the erection of the building, over the entire building surface exposed by digging operations, including foundations, a film of plastic material which is impregnated throughout with an insecticide compound, the entire arrangement allowing the insecticide to diffuse slowly.
2. A method according to claim 1, wherein the film is formed by a series of overlapping sheets which project substantially at ground level.
3. A method according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the plastic material is chosen from polyolefins, polyvinyl chloride and analogous (co)polymers.
4. A method according to claim 3, wherein the polyolefin is polyethylene or polypropylene.
5. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein the insecticide is a termicide chosen from pyrethrins, carbamates, organohalogens or organophosphorous compounds and the like.
6. A method according to claim 5, wherein the pyrethrin is permethrin.
7. A method according to claim 5 or 6, wherein the carbamate is benfuracarbe.
8. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 5, wherein the plastic material is polyethylene-based and the insecticide is permethrin.
9. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 8, wherein a polyethylene film is used laden with 0.5 to 2% of permethrin.
10. A method according to claim 9, wherein the film is laden with about 1% permethrin.

11. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 10, wherein, additionally, the building site is filled with a mixture of a substrate of normal filler and granules or materials of similar geometry of plastic material impregnated with insecticide, at the level of the zones where the film is either deliberately perforated or risks being

5 accidentally perforated or interrupted.

12. A method according to claim 11, wherein the granules or materials have the same composition as the film itself.

13. A method according to claim 12, wherein the granules or other material having the same composition as the film itself originate from fragments, waste, residues or rejects

10 formed in the manufacture thereof.

14. Insecticide films when used in the method according to any one of claims 1 to 12.

15. Granules and analogous materials when used in the method according to either claim 11, 12 or 13.

16. Application of the methods, films or granules according to any one of claims 1 to 15 to one or more of new buildings, curative renewal treatments, preventative renewal treatments or protection of zones by deep vertical barriers.

17. A method for the protection of buildings against termites, substantially as herein described with reference to any one of the accompanying drawings.

20 DATED this 18th Day of December, 1997

CECIL S.A.


Attorney: RUTH M. CLARKSON  
Fellow Institute of Patent Attorneys of Australia  
of SHELSTON WATERS






1/3

FIGURE 1





REPLACEMENT SHEET (RULE 26)


FIGURE 2



3/3  
FIGURE 3

## LEGEND

	REPELLENT zone
	CONTACT Hien SHOCK effect zone



REPLACEMENT SHEET (RULE 26)

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int. Application No.  
PCT/FR 94/01541

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
IPC 6 A01N25/34 E04B1/72 A01M1/24

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 A01N E04B A01M

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US,A,5 224 288 (J.SKELTON ET AL.) 6 July 1993 cited in the application see the whole document ---	1-11
Y	US,A,2 899 771 (A.A.BURRIS) 18 August 1959 see column 1, line 22 - column 2, line 55 ---	1-6,9,11
Y	GB,A,1 568 936 (EXTHENE) 11 June 1980 see the whole document ---	1-6,9,11
Y	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 17 no. 443 (M-1463), 16 August 1993 & JP,A,50 098717 (FUKUJI CHEM IND) 20 April 1993, see abstract ---	7,8,10
	---	

-/--

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

### \* Special categories of cited documents:

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- \*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- \*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- \*A\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

10 April 1995

Date of making of the international search report

17.05.95

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.O. 5118 Patentamt 2  
NL - 2200 HV Rijswijk  
Tel: (+31-70) 340-3000, Telex 31 631 epo nl  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Lamers, W

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

 Int. Patent Application No.  
 PCT/FR 94/01541

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	DATABASE WPI Week 8635 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; AN 86-230350 [35] & JP,A,61 162 123 (SUMITOMO ELEC IND) , 22 July 1986 see abstract ---	7,8,10
Y	DATABASE WPI Week 8828 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; AN 88-194650 & JP,A,63 132 803 (SHUNAN DENKO) , 4 June 1988 see abstract ---	7,8,10
X	GB,A,2 084 021 (ROUSSEL-UCLAF) 7 April 1982 see the whole document & FR,A,2 491 037 cited in the application ---	9
X	DE,A,11 41 837 (FARBENFABRIKEN BAYER) 27 December 1962 see example 8 ---	9
A	DATABASE WPI Week 8420 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; AN 84-124820 [20] & JP,A,59 062 503 (IKARI YAKUHIN) , 10 April 1984 cited in the application see abstract ---	1-11
A	DATABASE WPI Week 9038 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; AN 90-28255 & AU,D,4 865 590 (W.E.J.GROOM) , 2 August 1990 see abstract ---	1-11
A	WO,A,90 14004 (CHEMICAL ENTERPRISES) 29 November 1990 see the whole document -----	1-11

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

In International Application No

PCT/FR 94/01541

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US-A-5224288	06-07-93	AU-B- 1716692 EP-A- 0565250 JP-A- 6009306	23-09-93 13-10-93 18-01-94
US-A-2899771	18-08-59	NONE	
GB-A-1568936	11-06-80	NONE	
GB-A-2084021	07-04-82	FR-A- 2491037 AU-B- 545631 AU-A- 7571581 CA-A- 1166565 CH-A- 650468 DE-A- 3138279 JP-A- 57085305 SE-A- 8105444	02-04-82 25-07-85 01-04-82 01-05-84 31-07-85 09-06-82 28-05-82 27-03-82
DE-A-1141837		NONE	
WO-A-9014004	29-11-90	NONE	

# RAPPORT DE RECHERCHE INTERNATIONALE

De Je Internationale No

PCT/FR 94/01541

A. CLASSEMENT DE L'OBJET DE LA DEMANDE  
CIB 6 A01N25/34 E04B1/72 A01M1/24

Selon la classification internationale des brevets (CIB) ou à la fois selon la classification nationale et la CIB

## B. DOMAINES SUR LESQUELS LA RECHERCHE A PORTE

Documentation nationale consultée (système de classification suivi des symboles de classement)

CIB 6 A01N E04B A01M

Documentation consultée autre que la documentation nationale dans la mesure où ces documents relèvent des domaines sur lesquels a porté la recherche

Base de données électronique consultée au cours de la recherche internationale (nom de la base de données, et si cela est réalisable, termes de recherche utilisés)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERES COMME PERTINENTS

Catégorie *	Identification des documents cités, avec, le cas échéant, l'indication des passages pertinents	no. des revendications visées
Y	US,A,5 224 288 (J.SKELTON ET AL.) 6 Juillet 1993 cité dans la demande voir le document en entier ---	1-11
Y	US,A,2 899 771 (A.A.BURRIS) 18 Août 1959 voir colonne 1, ligne 22 - colonne 2, ligne 55 ---	1-6,9,11
Y	GB,A,1 568 936 (EXTHENE) 11 Juin 1980 voir le document en entier ---	1-6,9,11
Y	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 17 no. 443 (M-1463), 16 Août 1993 & JP,A,50 098717 (FUKUJI CHEM IND) 20 Avril 1993, voir abrégé ---	7,8,10
-/--		

☒ Voir la suite du cadre C pour la fin de la liste des documents

☒ Les documents de familles de brevets sont indiqués en annexe

### \* Catégories spéciales de documents cités

- "A" document définissant l'état général de la technique, non considéré comme particulièrement pertinent
- "B" document antérieur, mais publié à la date de dépôt international ou après cette date
- "L" document pouvant jeter un doute sur une revendication de priorité ou cité pour déterminer la date de publication d'une autre citation ou pour une raison spéciale (telle qu'indiquée)
- "O" document se référant à une divulgation orale, à un usage, à une exposition ou tout autre moyen
- "P" document publié avant la date de dépôt international, mais postérieurement à la date de priorité revendiquée

"T" document antérieur publié après la date de dépôt international ou la date de priorité et n'appartenant pas à l'état de la technique pertinent, mais cité pour comprendre le principe ou la théorie constituant la base de l'invention

"X" document particulièrement pertinent; l'invention revendiquée ne peut être considérée comme nouvelle ou comme impliquant une activité inventive par rapport au document considéré autrement

"Y" document particulièrement pertinent; l'invention revendiquée ne peut être considérée comme impliquant une activité inventive lorsque le document est associé à un ou plusieurs autres documents de même nature, cette combinaison étant évaluée pour une personne du métier

"Z" document qui fait partie de la même famille de brevets

Date à laquelle la recherche internationale a été effectivement achevée

10 Avril 1995

Date d'expiration du présent rapport de recherche internationale

17.05.95

Nom et adresse postale de l'administration chargée de la recherche internationale

Office Européen des Brevets, P.B. 3816 Palais des Nations  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tél. (+ 31-70) 340-2500, Tx. 31 631 epo nl,  
Fax (+ 31-70) 340-3016

Fonctionnaire autorisé

Laners, W

# RAPPORT DE RECHERCHE INTERNATIONALE

Dr. de Internationale No  
PCT/FR 94/01541

C(note) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERES COMME PERTINENTS		
Catégorie	Identification des documents cités, avec, le cas échéant, l'indication des passages pertinents	no. des revendications visées
Y	<p>DATABASE WPI Week 8635 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; AN 86-230350 [35] &amp; JP,A,61 162 123 (SUMITOMO ELEC IND) , 22 Juillet 1986 voir abrégé</p>	7,8,10
Y	<p>--- DATABASE WPI Week 8828 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; AN 88-194650 &amp; JP,A,63 132 803 (SHUNAN DENKO) , 4 Juin 1988 voir abrégé</p>	7,8,10
X	<p>--- GB,A,2 084 021 (ROUSSEL-UCLAF) 7 Avril 1982 voir le document en entier &amp; FR,A,2 491 037 cité dans la demande</p>	9
X	<p>--- DE,A,11 41 837 (FARBENFABRIKEN BAYER) 27 Décembre 1962 voir exemple 8</p>	9
A	<p>--- DATABASE WPI Week 8420 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; AN 84-124820 [20] &amp; JP,A,59 062 503 (IKARI YAKUHIN) , 10 Avril 1984 cité dans la demande voir abrégé</p>	1-11
A	<p>--- DATABASE WPI Week 9038 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; AN 90-282545 &amp; AU,D,4 865 590 (W.E.J.GROOM) , 2 Août 1990 voir abrégé</p>	1-11
A	<p>--- WO,A,90 14004 (CHEMICAL ENTERPRISES) 29 Novembre 1990 voir le document en entier -----</p>	1-11



# **RAPPORT DE RECHERCHE INTERNATIONALE**

Résumé des renseignements relatifs aux membres de familles de brevets

De de Internationale No

PCT/FR 94/01541

Documen. brevet cité au rapport de recherche	Date de publication	Membre(s) de la famille de brevet(s)	Date de publication
US-A-5224288	06-07-93	AU-B- 1716692 EP-A- 0565250 JP-A- 6009306	23-09-93 13-10-93 18-01-94
US-A-2899771	18-08-59	AUCUN	
GB-A-1568936	11-06-80	AUCUN	
GB-A-2084021	07-04-82	FR-A- 2491037 AU-B- 545631 AU-A- 7571581 CA-A- 1166565 CH-A- 650468 DE-A- 3138279 JP-A- 57085305 SE-A- 8105444	02-04-82 25-07-85 01-04-82 01-05-84 31-07-85 09-06-82 28-05-82 27-03-82
DE-A-1141837		AUCUN	
WO-A-9014004	29-11-90	AUCUN	